





DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003



 €1			, ,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
909/428,134	10/26/1999	JASMIN AJANOVIC	042390.P6341	4288
75	590 07/02/2003			
BLAKELY SO	OKOLOFF TAYLOR	& ZAFMAN	EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			LEFKOWITZ, SUMATI	
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 900251026		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2189	. 01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>~</u> .		:}				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/428,134	AJANOVIC ET AL.	3			
navious near.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sumati Lefkowitz	2189				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a Ition in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma	illing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Cottom of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	re later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing IAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF and of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply Office later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The approprincinally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar	nt's Brief must be filed within the p	eriod set forth in				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a))	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		•			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number of	inally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ rethe application in condition for allowance 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	e because: See Continuation Sheet.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten		•				
10. Other:	_	at high	out			
		-				

Sumati Lefkowitz Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2189 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: while the ICH may be capable of supporting multiple different buses with separate protocols, that is only one embodiment disclosed in the specification. On page 14, lines 7-9, the specification also indicates that the hub interface agent, in another embodiment, does not implement separate internal pipes, so that a default Pipe ID can be used, thereby implying that only one type of bus can be supported as well.